Innovations in Practice

Volatile gas scavenging in the paediatric intensive care unit: Occupational health and safety assessment

Katherine Reise¹, Jason Macartney¹, Richard La², Angela Jerath^{3,4,5}, Marat Slessarev⁶, Brian H. Cuthbertson^{3,7}, Saptharishi Lalgudi Ganesan⁸, Nicole K. McKinnon^{9,10a}

¹ Department of Critical Care, The Hospital for Sick Children, ² Occupational Health and Safety, The Hospital for Sick Children, ³ Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, ⁴ Schulich Heart Program, Sunnybrook Research Institute, ⁵ ICES, Sunnybrook Health Science Centre, ⁶ Department of Critical Care Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, ⁷ Department of Critical Care Medicine, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, ⁸ Department of Paediatrics & Department of Clinical Neurological Sciences, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, ⁹ Department of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Sickkids Research Institute, ¹⁰ Department of Paediatrics, and Department of Physiology, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto

Keywords: occupational health and safety, volatile anaesthetic gases, scavenging, pediatric critical care

https://doi.org/10.29390/001c.118513

Canadian Journal of Respiratory Therapy

Vol. 60, 2024

Abstract

Introduction

The use of volatile anesthetic agents in the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU) is experiencing increased interest since the availability of the miniature vapourizing device. However, the effectiveness of scavenging systems in the presence of humidifiers in the ventilator circuit is unknown.

Approach (Methods)

We performed a bench study to evaluate the effectiveness of the Deltasorb® scavenging system in the presence of isoflurane and active humidity by simulating both infant and child ventilator test settings. A total of four ventilators were set to ventilate test lungs, all with active humidity and a Deltasorb scavenging canister collecting exhaled ventilation gas. Two ventilators also had isoflurane delivered using the Anesthesia Conserving Device- small (ACD®-S) on the inspiratory limb (also called alternative ventilator configuration). We performed instantaneous measurements of isoflurane and continuous sampling with passive badges to measure average environmental exposure over a test period of 6.5 hours. Scavenging canisters were returned to the company, where desorption analysis showed the volume of water and isoflurane captured in each canister.

Findings

Both instantaneous point sampling and diffusive sampling results were below the occupational exposure limit confirming safety. The canisters collected both isoflurane and a portion of the water vapour delivered; the percentage of captured water and isoflurane collected in infants was higher than the child ventilator test settings.

Practice implications (Conclusion)

The tested scavenging configuration was effective in maintaining a safe working environment with active humidity and inspiratory limb (alternative) ventilator configuration of the the miniature vapourizing device.

INTRODUCTION

Volatile agents like sevoflurane and isoflurane are increasingly used for sedation in adult critical care since the availability of miniature vapourizing devices that are compatible with dual-limb critical care ventilators. 1,2 The standard position for the SedaConDa® Anesthetic Conserving Device (ACD®)(Sedana Medical, Sweden) is between the patient's endotracheal tube and the wye of the ventilator circuit. In this configuration, the device delivers the anesthetic vapour, functions as a Heat and Moisture Exchanger (HME) and has the additional benefit of reflecting the volatile agent, trapping approximately 90% of exhaled volatile agent and recycling back to the patient.³ The potential drawback of this positioning is the added dead space to the patient's breathing circuit. The smallest version (ACD®-S) used with this configuration requires the patient to have tidal volumes of greater than 200mL⁴ to ensure appropriate ventilation in the setting of the increased dead space and, therefore, has faced limited use in infants and children with tidal volumes under 200 mL.

An alternative position that places the ACD®-S on the inspiratory outlet of the ventilator has permitted the use of volatile gases for sedation in infants and children with tidal volumes between 30- 200mL.^{5,6} This position eliminates any additional dead space to the ventilator circuit, but the ACD no longer recycles volatile agent exhaled by the patient and does not provide effective humidification. In this context, it is anticipated that higher infusion rates of the anesthetic may be required in addition to the use of active humidification. The addition of ventilator circuit humidification could also impact the capacity of passive scavenging systems as they are indiscriminate in their absorption of volatile agent and water vapour. While the SedaConDa® system recommends more frequent changes for their commercially available charcoal-based (FlurAbsorb) scavenging canisters, the capacity for other scavenging systems to manage water vapour is unknown. Deltasorb® (Blue Zone Technologies, Canada), a volatile capture technology that utilizes silica zeolite for volatile capture as opposed to the traditional activated charcoal, 8,9 has previously demonstrated as having excellent volatile capture and scavenging with the ACD® using the standard circuit positioning and without active humidity. 10,11 Some centres have adopted this scavenging system as it aligns organizationally with environmental initiatives to reduce waste anesthetic gases emissions (known to be a potent greenhouse gas)9,12,13 through a proprietary system to extract scavenged volatile agent from their absorbant, reprocess and rebottle the volatile agent in liquid form for future use in patients.

Use of scavenging systems with inhaled volatiles is essential to ensure the safety of the health care workers. ^{14,15} In a bench study, our primary aim is to to evaluate the efficiency of volatile gas scavenging and resultant occupational exposure of SedaConDa ACD®-S when located on the inspiratory limb with active humidication in paediatric-sized patients. The effectiveness of scavenging will be measured by calculating environmental volatile exposure in a typical paediatric intensive care environment as well as the per-

centage of gas scavenged. The second aim is to estimate the scavenging canisters required frequency of replacement by assessing the volume of water vapour and isoflurane captured by the scavenge system.

APPROACH (METHODS)

This study was reviewed by The Hospital for Sick Children IRB and deemed to meet the criteria for exemption.

In a four-bed PICU room at a quaternary level children's hospital, four ventilators were set at each bed spot simulating two children (10-year-old, 30 kg) and two infant (6 month-old, 5 kg) patients.

The configuration used in the bench study is shown in Figure 1. Two Servo-i® and Servo-U® ventilators (Getinge, Sweden) were set up with active humidification using Fisher-Paykel MR850 humidifiers and the RT266 and RT 380 Evaqua2™ circuits (Fisher-Paykel, New Zealand). Humidification was set to invasive ventilation, which uses automatic algorithms targeting 37°C (44 mg/L, body temperature and pressure, saturated), achieving this following a brief warming up period. No interruptions to the ventilation or collection (no ventilator or scavenging disconnects) occurred during testing. All four ventilators had a single Deltasorb® Series 1000 Anesthetic Collection Device (Blue Zone Technologies, Canada) (the "canister") attached to the expiratory outlet (port), with active scavenging attached to the outlet on the canister with standard wall suction set to -20mmHg similar to prior studies [10]. Two of the ventilators had the SedaConDa® system (ACD®-S and Seda-ConDa® Syringe) positioned on the inspiratory limb; with a BD Alaris PC Unit and Syringe Module (Alaris Medical, USA) running isoflurane (3 mL/hr). The ventilators were set to typical institutional settings to clinically represent the size of an infant and larger child. For the infant representation, we used: paediatric category, the RT266 circuit, respiratory rate of 30 breaths/min, pressure control 15 cmH₂O above positive-end expiratory pressure (PEEP) 5cmH2O; inspiratory time 0.5s and FiO2 0.40, achieving a measured tidal volume of approximately 15-20 mL and minute volume of 0.4 LPM. For the child representation, we used the following settings: adult category, the RT380 circuit, pressureregulated volume control, respiratory rate of 25 breath/ min, set tidal volume 200mL, inspiratory time 0.8s, with PEEP 5 cmH₂O and FiO₂ 0.4 achieving a minute volume of 5.2 LPM. Two sizes of simulated test lungs were used for the infant and child setup, respectively.

For passive sampling, four diffusive 574 Anesthetic Gases Monitor badges (Assay Technology, USA) were opened at the start of testing and placed at points around the clinical environment where clinicians may typically stand for longer periods. Passive sampling involves using coin-sized badges that capture isoflurane from the environment in an activated molecular sieve, allowing characterization of the average exposure over the testing period. Four sampling locations were used - two attached directly to the ventilator, and two located at the bedside/workstation for both the infant and child ventilator simulations (Figure 2). A fifth badge was attached to the collar

Figure 1

Figure 1. A representation of the testing configuration of ventilator with SedaConDa ACD®-S on the inspiratory limb, active humidity and test lung. Arrows indicate the direction of gas flow through the system. The Deltasorb® canister is attached to the expiratory outlet (port) of the ventilator with low standard wall suction acting as active scavenging.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Arial representation of multi-bed room with sampling locations for passive badge sampling (identified in red circles) and instantaneous sampling (in green circles). The dark green circle represents sampling that was performed low to the floor (near scavenging system). All other testing was approximately at counter height.

of the author (JM), who moved around the room during the day, simulating a worker's typical work routine. The badges were closed upon collection, sealed in the designated return pouch, and shipped to Assay Technology Laboratory (California, United States of America) for analysis. The lab utilizes toluene desorption and headspace analysis by gas chromatography with flame-ionization detector to determine the time-weighted average exposure (TWAE) concentration of isoflurane. ¹⁶

Instantaneous measurements for isoflurane room concentrations were measured hourly using a MIRAN SapphIRe Portable Ambient Analyzer (Thermo Scientific, USA) at six points in the room - at the bedside computer, at ventilator circuit, at the infusion pump, at the test lung, near the scavenging exhaust area and the centre of the room (Figure 2). The analyzer contains a single-beam infrared spectrophotometer to specifically and accurately detect isoflurane in parts per million.¹⁷ The air exchanges are reported by the hospitals' facilities operations and exceed eight exchanges per hour. Following the end of testing, the canisters were capped (both ends), serial numbers recorded with testing details and returned to Blue Zone Technologies for analysis. During the testing, the total infused isoflurane was estimated to be 29.25 grams (infusion rate 3mL/hr x 6.5hr x density of isoflurane 1.5g/mL). Amount of water administered was calculated using water vapour level, minute ventilation and total run time of the experiment (water vapour level [44mg/L based on 100% humidity] X MV X 6.5hr). Using their proprietary process of desorption to extract both water and isoflurane from the canister, Blue Zone recorded the estimated weights of extraction from each scavenging canister for isoflurane and water that was captured during testing and provided a report to the authors. Of note, the extracted volumes are estimates of the water and isoflurane during testing that was captured by the scavenge (known to be attributed to either water or volatile agent in the desorption process) performed by Blue Zone Technologies and were not verified by the authors.

Environmental volatile gas exposure is presented as parts per million (ppm), and is compared to the standards set about *Ontario TWAE and Ceiling Limits from O. Reg.* 833, ¹⁸ which sets the ceiling limits of exposure at 10ppm and the time-weighted average exposure (TWAE) at greater than 2ppm. Exposure is presented as either instantaneous

measures or as an accumulated exposure over the 6.5-hour experiment.

FINDINGS

Table 1 summarizes the instantaneous measurements and continuous sampling results for isoflurane. All detected levels were below the Ontario occupational TWAE limit of > 2ppm and the ceiling limit of > 10ppm. The instantaneous point sampling exposure ranged from 0 to 0.6ppm, with the latter being detected beside the endotracheal tube/airway. The continuous sampling, which detected total isoflurane exposure during the 6.5-hour experiment was 0.1ppm for both the infant and child experimental configurations at both the monitored bedspaces and the ventilator screens. The isoflurane exposure detected on a clinician working bedside during the 6.5-hour experiment was 0.1ppm. The results demonstrate minimal ambient concentrations of isoflurane detected while the scavenging system was active.

Deltasorb® Series 1000 desorption demonstrated 27/29.3 grams (92.3%) of isoflurane and 6/6.9 grams (87%) of water was collected during the 6.5-hour infant (estimated 0.4 LPM) test, whereas 18/29.3 grams (61.5%) of isoflurane and 40/89.2 grams (44.8%) of water were collected during the 6.5-hour (estimated 5.2 LPM) child simulation (data not shown). Based on child simulation with our testing conditions (ventilator), and the capacity reported by BlueZone, our collection suggests that changes should be performed at an interval of two days with the goal of changing the canister prior to reaching capacity. We recommend the two day interval with the expectation that the canister will have reached 70% of total capacity, thereby providing a small buffer.

DISCUSSION

This bench study is the first to evaluate the occupational isoflurane exposure to bedside clinicians and hospital staff providing routine care of a paediatric patient treated with volatile anesthetic agents using a miniature vapourizing device in the setting of active scavenging system with device placement on the inspiratory limb. The findings show that Deltasorb® volatile capture scavenging is effective at

Table 1. Instantaneous and Passive Sampling Results.

O. Reg 833		Ontario isoflurane time-weighted average exposure (TWAE): 2ppm* Ontario isoflurane ceiling limit: 10ppm*									
			Time (min)	Start		120		240		360	
	Patient Zone	Infant	Child	Infant	Child	Infant	Child	Infant	Child	Infant	Child
	Computer Station	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		
	Bedside Zone	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Ventilator Screen	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	IV Pump	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	n/a		
	Airway	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.2		
	Scavenging System (low)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2		
	Centre of Room Sample	0.0		0.1		0.0		0.1			
	On "Clinician" (various location)									0.	1

PPM = parts per million

maintaining safe atmospheric volatile levels in the setting of large volumes of water vapour produced by active humidification in a closed (leak-free) ventilator system. Strengths of our study include testing environmental exposure in multiple locations using two methods of instantaneous point sampling and continuous sampling over 6.5 hours. The multiple locations sampled represent areas where specialized healthcare workers (respiratory therapists, nurses, clinicians, physical therapists) frequent during routine patient care. All exposure values were well below Canadian and international regulatory limits. The Deltasorb® data demonstrated desorption and extraction of both large volumes of water and isoflurane which supports that these systems effectively absorb isoflurane in the presence of active humidification and maintain a safe working environment.

Of particular importance, minute volume had an impact on canister duration and capacity. In the infant model represented with a low minute volume (0.4LPM), the single canister absorption was very effective, absorbing nearly all isoflurane and water vapour. In contrast, a single canister failed to capture the entirety of the administered water vapour and isoflurane in the larger child model with higher minute volumes (5.2LPM). These results are consistent with the described limitations of volatile capture technology at higher volatile flow rates or higher volatile concentrations.^{9,19} Despite this, volatile exposure remained well below occupational health standards in both models, suggesting that the addition of active scavenge (by adding suction) is effective in clearing the volatile agent should the volatile capture system have some degree of ineffectiveness. We chose to evaluate a single canister in paediatrics, rather than the tandem approach of two canisters used in previous studies. 10 Our findings of incomplete volatile capture with higher minute volumes are potentially due to gas flow through the canister exceeding the necessary dwell time for complete absorption of isoflurane^{8,19}; therefore, we would recommend using two canisters as previously reported for

any larger-sized paediatric patient clinically. ^{10,11} Clinicians may choose to adopt a standardized approach for all patients for simplicity or may adopt a standardized approach for smaller and larger patients, determined potentially by a minute volume threshold.

An essential component of respiratory care in neonatal and paediatric critical care is use of active humidification²⁰ rather than routine use of HMEs. The importance of minimizing dead space, avoiding any added resistance, and optimizing secretion clearance are important components of respiratory management and are culturally engrained practices in PICU. This has ultimately made HME adoption relatively limited in the paediatric setting. Due to the instrumental dead space issue, some adult studies have raised the possibility of using the ACD®-S with its dead space of 50 mL in the majority of adult patients in respiratory failure who require lung protective ventilation strategies.² If applying these same precautions, this would result in the ventilator inspiratory limb positioning of the ACD®-S for most paediatric cases. Understanding practice differences between adult and paediatric patients, and the implications on scavenging system performance with active humidification is imperative to ensure the safety of all PICU providers.

Our bench test had several limitations. Firstly, it was performed under very controlled conditions for reporting. It is possible that in daily care, there are variabilities in the ventilation settings and patterns, isoflurane infusion rates, circuit disconnections (potentially required for open suctioning, desaturation requiring emergency bagging, or accidental disconnection) and the use of uncuffed endotracheal tubes that may cause higher transient ambient isoflurane exposure to bedside clinicians in the pediatric environment. However, given the lower doses of isoflurane used in ICU settings, low exposure measurements in our study and the presence of room air exchanges, we expect that ambient isoflurane levels would remain safe with the scavenging system tested. Second, our study recorded slightly lower tidal volumes with the infant model than the suggested

^{*}Ontario TWAE and Ceiling Limits from O. Reg. 833¹⁸

limit for clinical use (15-20mL); this was a limit of the infant test lung that was available and a pragmatic decision to proceed with testing by the authors. Third, our test conditions used a specific ventilator with low bias flow. It is possible that other ventilators would create different results, and we would caution extrapolation of these results without considerations to differences in the ventilation conditions. Various factors can contribute to both the scavenging effectiveness in the presence of active humidity - the delivered dose of isoflurane, minute volume, ventilator bias flow, efficiency of the humidifier, and condensation in the circuit all can impact the volume of water vapour entering the scavenge system. Finally, we ended our experiment prior to the canister being fully saturated and therefore we utilized the extraction data to estimate the timing for canister exchange, suggesting a canister change at 70% capac-

The awareness of volatile agents and potential therapeutic benefits in paediatrics is well described. ^{21,22} Historically, the most common indication for the use of volatile gases in paediatric critical care has been to treat bronchospasm in children with severe status asthmaticus that is refractory to conventional therapies. 22-24 It has also been utilized in children who are challenging to sedate^{5,6} and as a treatment for refractory status epilepticus.²⁵ While the use of volatile agents in paediatrics has existed for many years, the widespread adoption or availability of this therapy in the critical care environment has been limited by equipment and trained operators.^{21,22} The availability of miniature vapourizing devices that are compatible with ICU ventilators has sparked a resurgence of clinical interest in this therapy. The use of these devices remains limited in paediatrics, with limited practice guidance on scavenging safety, given the specific needs of placing the device on the the ventilator inspiratory limb with need for higher infusion rate of volatile agents and active humidification. Our study adds to the growing body of literature^{5,6} on the safety of using miniature vapourizing devices and inhalational volatile based sedation in critically ill paediatric patients. An ongoing paediatric pilot, clinical trial (NCT04684238 and NCT05867472), will further increase our understanding of the feasibility and safety of using inhalational volatile gases for sedation in critically ill paediatric patients.

PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS

Our results confirm that using the Deltasorb® Series 1000 scavenging system with volatile anesthetic agents and active humidification remains an efficient scavenging system with exposure levels maintained below occupational health and safety limits. The use of active humidity can contribute a substantial absorbent load on scavenging systems. We would recommend frequent changes (e.g., every second day if used in tandem) to ensure that capacity remains available

for inhaled anesthetic absorption. The combination of active scavenging, using canisters in tandem, and active scavenging as a fail-safe ensures that paediatric critical care providers are working in a safe environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank: Blue Zone Technologies for providing Deltasorb® Series 1000 scavenge canisters and performing analysis of the extracted volumes of the canisters tested; Matthew Williston for creating a brief report of the analysis, Winnie Seto, R.Ph, PharmD for her review of the manuscript, and University Health Network (Toronto General Hospital RT Department) for kindly loaning their Portable Ambient Analyzer.

CONTRIBUTORS

All authors contributed to the conception or design of the work, the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of the data. All authors were involved in drafting and commenting on the paper and have approved the final version.

FUNDING

AJ, MS, BC, SLG, NKM have ongoing clinical trials examining the effects of inhaled anesthetics on patient and health system outcomes in adult and paediatric critically ill adults that are funded by the Canadian Institute of Health Research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Blue Zone Technologies, Canada did perform the desorption procedure and provided analysis of volatile and water vapor capture. All data related to occupational health and safety was undertaken through our office of Occupational Health and Safety, and neither Sedana Medical nor Blue Zone contributed to testing nor were aware of the results.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study was reviewed by The Hospital for Sick Children IRB and deemed to meet the criteria for exemption.

AI STATEMENT

The authors confirm no generative AI or AI-assisted technology was used to generate content.

Submitted: March 05, 2024 EST, Accepted: May 28, 2024 EST



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-NC-4.0). View this license's legal deed at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0 and legal code at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode for more information.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sackey PV, Martling CR, Granath F, Radell PJ. Prolonged isoflurane sedation of intensive care unit patients with the Anesthetic Conserving Device. *Crit Care Med.* 2004;32(11):2241-2246. doi:10.1097/01.ccm.0000145951.76082.77
- 2. Jerath A, Wong K, Wasowicz M, Fowler T, Steel A, Grewal D, et al. Use of Inhaled Volatile Anesthetics for Longer Term Critical Care Sedation: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *Crit Care Explor*. 2020;2(11):e0281. doi:10.1097/CCE.000000000000000281
- 3. sedanamedical. The Sedaconda ACD- An introduction and resource guide. Accessed February 29, 2024. https://sedacondaacd.sedanamedical.com
- 4. sedanomedical. Setting up Sedaconda ACD. Accessed February 29, 2024. https://sedacondaacd.sedanamedical.com/#two/modules/alternative using-the-sedaconda
- 5. Mencía S, Palacios A, García M, et al. An Exploratory Study of Sevoflurane as an Alternative for Difficult Sedation in Critically Ill Children. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2018;19(7):e335-e341. doi:10.1097/PCC.0000000000001538
- 6. Meyburg J, Frommhold D, Motsch J, Kuss N. Long-Term Inhalative Sedation in Children With Pulmonary Diseases. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2021;36(11):1305-1312. doi:10.1177/0885066620953395
- 7. sedanamedical. Routine changing- Changing the FourAbsorb. Accessed February 29, 2024. https://sedacondaacd.sedanamedical.com/#two/modules/alternative_routine-changing/changing-the-flurabsorb
- 8. Doyle DJ, Byrick R, Filipovic D, Cashin F. Silica zeolite scavenging of exhaled isoflurane: a preliminary report. *Can J Anaesth*. 2002;49(8):799-804. doi:10.1007/BF03017411
- 9. Gandhi J, Barker K, Cross S, Goddard A, Vaghela M, Cooper A. Volatile capture technology in sustainable anaesthetic practice: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia*. 2024;79(3):261-269. doi:10.1111/anae.16207
- 10. Pickworth T, Jerath A, DeVine R, Kherani N, Wąsowicz M. The scavenging of volatile anesthetic agents in the cardiovascular intensive care unit environment: a technical report. *Can J Anaesth*. 2013;60(1):38-43. doi:10.1007/s12630-012-9814-5

- 11. Wong K, Wasowicz M, Grewal D, et al. Efficacy of a simple scavenging system for long-term critical care sedation using volatile agent-based anesthesia. *Can J Anaesth*. 2016;63(5):630-632. doi:10.1007/s12630-015-0562-1
- 12. Varughese S, Ahmed R. Environmental and Occupational Considerations of Anesthesia: A Narrative Review and Update. *Anesth Analg*. 2021;133(4):826-835. doi:10.1213/ANE.00000000000005504
- 13. Nielsen OJ, Sulbaek Andersen MP. Inhalational volatile anaesthetic agents: the atmospheric scientists' viewpoint. *Anaesthesia*. 2024;79(3):246-251. doi:10.1111/anae.16119
- 14. Boiano JM, Steege AL. Precautionary practices for administering anesthetic gases: A survey of physician anesthesiologists, nurse anesthetists and anesthesiologist assistants. *J Occup Environ Hyg.* 2016;13(10):782-793. doi:10.1080/15459624.2016.1177650
- 15. Gardner RJ. Inhalation anaesthetics--exposure and control: a statistical comparison of personal exposures in operating theatres with and without anaesthetic gas scavenging. *Ann Occup Hyg.* 1989;33(2):159-173. doi:10.1093/annhyg/33.2.159
- 16. Tech A. 574 Waste Anesthetic Gas Monitor. Accessed February 29, 2024. https://www.assaytech.com/product/574-anesthetic-gases-monitor/
- 17. Thermo Scientific MIRAN SapphIRe Portable Ambient Analyzer.
- 18. Act OH and S. R.R.O. 1990, REGULATION 833 CONTROL OF EXPOSURE TO BIOLOGICAL OR CHEMICAL AGENTS. July 2020. Accessed February 29, 2024. https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/900833
- 19. Vaghela M, Kay RH, Jones L, Greig P. Inhalational anaesthetics: an assessment of agent delivery and capture. *Anaesthesia*. 2023;78(6):784-785. doi:10.1111/anae.15981
- 20. Walsh BK, Hood K, Merritt G. Pediatric airway maintenance and clearance in the acute care setting: how to stay out of trouble. *Respir Care*. 2011;56(9):1424-1444. doi:10.4187/respcare.01323

- 21. Blondonnet R, Quinson A, Lambert C, et al. Use of volatile agents for sedation in the intensive care unit: A national survey in France. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(4):e0249889. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0249889
- 22. Gorsky K, Cuninghame S, Jayaraj K, et al. Inhaled Volatiles for Status Asthmaticus, Epilepsy, and Difficult Sedation in Adult ICU and PICU: A Systematic Review. *Crit Care Explor*. 2024;6(2):e1050. doi:10.1097/CCE.00000000000001050
- 23. Turner DA, Heitz D, Cooper MK, Smith PB, Arnold JH, Bateman ST. Isoflurane for life-threatening bronchospasm: a 15-year single-center experience. *Respir Care*. 2012;57(11):1857-1864. doi:10.4187/respcare.01605

- 24. Kolli S, Opolka C, Westbrook A, et al. Outcomes of children with life-threatening status asthmaticus requiring isoflurane therapy and extracorporeal life support. *J Asthma*. 2023;60(10):1926-1934. doi:10.1080/02770903.2023.2191715
- 25. Zeiler FA, Zeiler KJ, Teitelbaum J, Gillman LM, West M. Modern inhalational anesthetics for refractory status epilepticus. *Can J Neurol Sci*. 2015;42(2):106-115. doi:10.1017/cjn.2014.121